



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,132	2 02/28/2002		Haiyin He	ACY-33,316-D3	3248	
25291	7590	04/15/2004		EXAMINER		
WYETH PATENT LAW GROUP			RILEY, JEZIA			
FIVE GIRA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MADISON,	NJ 0794	40	1637			
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) HE ET AL.	
	10/086,132		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jezia Riley	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
	TEDLY IS SET TO EVALUE A.	AONTHIO) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI	<del></del>	MONTH(S) FROM	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed	
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi	rty (30) days will be considered timely.	
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> </ul>	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	mailing date of this communication, even in	f timely filed, may reduce any	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 March 2004		
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un			
	,	,	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>2-6,8-12,29-33,39-43,55,56,60 a</u>		plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6, 8-12, 29-33, 39-43, 55, 56, (</u>	60, and 62 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	rojan najorih, undor 25 U.C.O. S	0 440(-) (I) (r)	
- IZIL I ACKNOWIEDDNENDS MADE OFA CIAIM TOPTOL	(e)an priority under 35 i i S i - 4	5 119(a)-(d) or (t)	

ority under	35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	b) Some * c) None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)				
1) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4) 🔲	Interv	riew	Sur	nma	iry	(PT	0-4	13)
	_				_	_		

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 1637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Remarks

1. Applicants' arguments and amendments, filed on 3/3/2004, have been approved and entered. They have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or reiterated. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-6, 8, 9-12, 29-33, 39-43, 55, 56, 60, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the chemotherapeutic agents disclosed in the instant claims 3, 5, or 55 for example, does not reasonably provide enablement for the employment of any chemotherapeutic agents and any chemosensitizing reversal agents employed in the instant rejected claimed method. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Art Unit: 1637

4. Applicants argue that the invention is enable based on the working examples as stated in Tables 7, 10, and 14 page 30 where it is disclosed specific compositions. However instant claim1, for example is broadly claiming a method using any chemotherapeutic agents and any chemosensitizing reversal agents. In the instant application, "a chemotherapeutic agent" and a "chemosensitizing reversal agent", recited in the instant claims are purely functional distinction. Hence, these functional recitations read on any compounds that might have the recited functions. Claims employing functional language neither provide those elements required to practice the inventions nor inform the public. The claims do not provide guidance as to medicaments employed, or levels for providing therapeutic benefit, for example. Therefore the instant claims are an invitation to experiment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 2, 4-6, 8-10, 29-31, 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abe et al. (British Journal of Cancer, 1995, 72, pages 418-423).

Application/Control Number: 10/086,132

Art Unit: 1637

- 7. Claims 2, 4-6, 8-10, 29-31, 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greenberger et al (Oncology Research, Vol. 8, No. 5, pp. 207-218, 1996).
- 8. Applicants argue that the references do not suggest the instant application.

Abe et al. discloses method of determining chemosensitization of spontaneous multidrug resistance in human cancer cells exhibiting such resistance comprising administering an effective amount of chemosensitizing reversal agent in combination with a chemotherapeutic agent such as doxorubicin

Greenberger recites chemosensitizing agent that restored sensitivity to drugs. Such agents resensitized drug-resistant tumors to vinblastine or doxorubicin in an ascitic or solid tumor model respectively. The instant claims are broadly directed to any type of compositions as discussed under 112 rejection above. Although the instant claims recite nonP-gp /nonMRP, the recitation of doxorubicin suggests that the references inherently practice the instant invention as claimed.

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1637

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is 571-272-0786. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wednesday, April 14, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINED